They abused children (but only for research purposes)

Alfred Kinsey revolutionised ideas of sexual development. What he did not reveal is that his data was supplied by paedophiles

By Tim Tate

The Sunday Observer

documentary film-making in favour of from the moment they were born. 'sensational twistings' and 'cheap controversy', in the company of America's advised, are 'considerable'.

This enlightening diagnosis is made by Dr Clarence Tripp, psychoanalyst, somethe world's most famous sex scientist, the late Professor Alfred Kinsey.

My symptoms are simple enough: I and morality of one part of Kinsey's monumental research into human sexuality (Secret History: Kinsey's Paedophiles -Channel 4, tomorrow).

Kinsey, a professor of zoology at Indiana University, began his research in the illegal except wet dreams'. Over two achieve orgasm. decades Kinsey and his team carried out the biggest survey of sexual attitudes and behaviour ever undertaken. Kinsey pubdetail in two books - Sexual Behaviour in The Human Male (1948) and Sexual Behaviour in The Human Female (1953).

Each book included separate chapters on child sexuality, Chapter 5 of the 'Male' volume set the tone by concluding that the prevailing Freudian view that child

brain damage'. I have also abandoned tance - children could enjoy 'orgasms' bent kept detailed records of each encounter.

the basis of this revolutionary claim. For dophiles had masturbated the children rabid Christian right. My 'sins', I am almost 40 years it was simply accepted at manually or orally - to produce the face value. Then, in the Eighties, Judith orgasms Kinsey described in Chapter 5. Reisman, an American academic researching sex in the media re-examined time photographer and close confidant of the seemingly scientific tables and text of Kinsey Institute. She wanted to know Chapter 5. Reisman quickly discovered that up to nine paedophiles had sent Kinsey diaries detailing their abuse of chilhave produced a documentary film that dren; he had reproduced their contents as dares to challenge the scientific validity scientific 'proof' of children's sexuality.

Reisman was particularly concerned by four tables in Chapter 5 which described children's capacity for orgasms. Depend- lic. I was questioning the unquestionable ing on how the tables were interpreted, -Kinsey's research and his reputation as between 317 and 1,800 boys - from two months to 15 years old - seemed to have clearly out to get me.' Thirties - a time when, as his colleague been used in experiments designed to dis-Paul Gebhard explained, everything was cover the precise time it took them to figure with Kinsey's surviving colleagues, adults, we discovered that Kinsey's rela-

months achieving multiple orgasms, it of groups aligned to America's Christian seemed likely that an adult had been Right. And it's certainly true that these lished the data in impressive scientific involved. Reisman wrote to the Kinsey Institute seeking clarification.

> She received a remarkably frank letter back from the then-director - and former her campaign. colleague of Kinsey - Dr Paul Gebhard. In it he confirmed her suspicions:

from birth. Kinsey specifically denounced have had to depend upon other sources of data nasty indeed: the abuse of several hun-... Some of these ... were homosexual males sexuality was latent - and that during interested in ... pre-pubertal children. One ... to mail their data to Indiana. this period they needed legal protection. had numerous contacts with male and female

I HAVE, APPARENTLY, developed incurable Kinsey insisted that - with the right assis-infants and children and being of a scientific

Curiously no one seemed to question Gebhard went on to explain that the pae-

It was to be the last frank and revealing letter Reisman would receive from the who the paedophiles were - and how they had got access to the children. Instead of receiving answers, she found herself on the receiving end of a hostile press campaign by the new director of the Kinsey Institute. 'I had clearly touched on something they didn't want dealt with in puba reliable scientist. And for that they were

Reisman remains a highly unpopular and with the Institute he founded. They Since the tables showed infants of five accuse her of being part of the coalition groups - from Concerned Women Of America to RSVP - Restoring Social Virtue and Purity - have adopted her and

But Reisman is her own woman - 'I was born a Jew and raised a Catholic'. And what is beyond doubt is that behind Kinchildren were fully fledged sexual beings Since sexual experimentation was illegal we sey's prolix phrasing is something very dred children by men who he encouraged

When we set about investigating how



such a respected scientist came to publish accounts of child abuse by paedophiles as Gebhard insists that King's reporters evidence that children enjoy sex with tionships with habitual child molesters ual overtures] as well as his successes.' was considerably more extensive than had ever been revealed.

Curiously, Kinsey's colleagues did not want to deny his relationships with paedophiles: they wanted to celebrate them. that while simultaneously writing them Clarence Tripp - hired by Kinsey to make and molesting children, the paedophile films of men masturbating - is particularly proud of his mentor's association with a man who abused 800 pre-pubescent by the seemingly rational way Tripp

discovered to have been a US government transcribed them correctly. When I did I land examiner called Rex King - as came to the reluctant conclusion that it 'super-scientific', Tripp insisted his vic- wasn't me who had suffered damage to tims 'all thought he was wonderful'. Paus- my mental faculties.

ing for a minute, he corrected himself: Rex King, who There were two young girls who ... agreed to the sexual contact but then children supplied the found it very painful. This was because 'research' that they were very young and had small genitalia and [King] was a grown man with enormous genitalia. And there was a fit problem."

Paul Gebhard defends Kinsey's use of King's data because it was unique - which is rather the point. If, as the Institute now Photo Museum maintains, much of Chapter 5 of the 'Male' volume was provided by King with no independent verification, in purely scientific terms how can it be relied on?

The current Kinsey Institute director, John Bancroft, somewhat grudgingly accepts that it might be dubious, but has republished both volumes with no qualification or caveat. His predecessor Paul were trustworthy 'because he reported his failures [children who rejected his sex-

And Clarence Tripp is adamant that King's diaries of sexual abuse contained such precise detail that they were selfevidently scientific - though he concedes was also masturbating himself.

As we laboured on our film I was struck makes his extraordinary claims. I had to Describing the paedophile - whom we consult the tapes again to be sure we had

molested at least 800 supported Alfred Kinsey's claim that children could enjoy sex from infancy. Photograph by Mo Palmer/ Albequerque

